

Dear Mr. Ryan;

March 10th, 2017

I am in receipt of your letter dated March 6th. This letter is to convey my continued dis-satisfaction with your "representation". In your letter you claim that the amount of time spent in consultation with me does not equal your preparedness to represent me. Considering you have spent a grand total of one hour discussing my five cases with me I believe your claim to be patently facetious. In fact, Mr. Ryan you seem to be a very dishonest person to me overall. All you have done is make excuses, warehouse me until you are ready to "represent" me (read: hold my hand while the court screws me) and talk down to me as if I were stupid. I guess we mere mortals could never hope to aspire to begin to even approach any understanding of the law. Where would we be without the steadfast and erudite legal warriors such as yourself? You refuse in your letter to do very reasonable things in my defense, for the barest of excuses, and while I do not expect you to do all of the things I ask, you refuse to do any. As you know, Mr. Ryan, it is very difficult to raise claims on appeal that are not originally argued in the home court. Perhaps your refusals are intentionally designed to deny me issues on appeal? What, precisely is your defense of me, Mr. Ryan? Insanity? Is that the long and short of it? Poor Mr. Gibbs, obviously guilty, but insane. Let's all feel sorry for him. Well, thank you, but no, thank you Mr. Ryan. This letter is to officially put you on notice that I do not trust you, if you expect me to trust you then you need to start distancing your services from the same old backneyed crap that passes for legal representation in Judge Flynn's court. That means investigating my claims, making meaningful motions and actually whittling away at my case. Results talk and bullshit walks, Mr. Ryan. I don't care how smooth your bullshit is. No real case is no real case and that is all you have prepared so far. You are dead wrong about the legality of an illegal wiretap as evidence of criminal threats (to investigate possible violent plans yes,

~~not to~~ but ~~to~~ use as evidence of criminal threats Runs afoul of the 4th and 5th Amend. precisely because of the confidential nature of private telephonic communication, precisely because the Constitution protects us from over-zealous men of the government, who will hear a partial recording without proper context and after a false arrest, of course the courts will try to justify. Federal law (5th Amend. 4th Amend. Olmstead, Weeks vs. U.S., Silverthorne Lumber Co vs. U.S., Federal wiretapping laws) take precedence over State laws (like ~~633~~ p.c.). They had every right to investigate me, even record me in order to investigate, but they went way too far in making arrest - arrest is false - and cannot use recording in a court of law - Do your god-damn homework, Mr. Ryan) Recording is inherently prejudicial, has never been contextualised by the D.A., is a back-door attempt to compel me to self-incriminate, runs afoul of Miranda v. Arizona, is actually evidence of an emotional outburst which negates 4.22 p.c. There is no offer of proof that nothing Feser said set me off and in fact this is alleged. Do you have children Mr. Ryan? I'll bet you do. The difference between you and I Mr. Ryan is you are allowed to be in your childrens lives and do not have a bunch of entitled cock-suckers, playing God with your life because they are so deluded as to believe that the fucking world would come to an end if they couldn't have their grimy fingers in everybody's pie. Grow up Mr. Ryan! I have a three year old daughter you fucking creep. I swear to god, I don't know who is the biggest asshole: Hanna? Flynn? The Cops? You? Why the fuck are you meeting in chambers with probation when I have not even been allowed to meet with probation? Why don't you be a real lawyer and demand your client get an actual probation interview before you consult with one more asshole who doesn't know me, who just wants to chime in? You empower Hanna and Flynn's incompetent bullshit this way. Why don't you demand a little better for your client? And you expect me to trust you?

No, Sir. You are way off the fucking Mark here. Unclean hands does apply criminally. (Substitute Undue influence if you like or complain your client was not a free moral agent, but find the right legalese to challenge the fact, the incontrovertible, undeniable fact that Crofoot is an asshole and his actions led directly to my text) Finally, you accuse me of wanting to release a press release in order to unduly influence potential Jurors. No, I want a press release to complain that my arrest was illegal, the courts are incompetent, my defense is non-existent, I resent being judged by red-necks who have only the most rudimentary, literal and mis-guided understanding of the law, this County is uncivil and wrecks families and businesses, the Jail abuses people, the D.A. is combative and overzealous, the press coverage in my case has been one sided:

Etc. Etc. Etc. Etc.

P.S. I'd rather be
100% Guilty
than 100%
immoral!

There are a thousand hacking at the
branches of evil, to one who strikes
at the root, (H.D.T.)

Robert A Gibbs

P.S.S. If our roles were reversed, Mr. Ryan, I would be doing anything
and everything under the sun to reunite you with your children and
I would not give two shits if you were guilty of 422 p.c. or not!
Only an asshole, only a consciousless cretin, would ever
say that anything I have done or been accused of ~~is~~ comes even
close to the crime of leaving my daughter without a father.
Too fucking bad the law does not instill people with morality eh Ryan?