UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Marianne Matherly Clerk of Court Office of the Clerk
501 "I" Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Divisional Office 2500 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721

September 24, 2018

mce

Case Number: 2:18-CV-02594-KJN

Case Title:

ROBERT A. GIBBS,

vs. COUNTY OF SHASTA, ET AL.

Dear Litigant,

You are hereby notified that the above case number has been assigned to your action. You are to include the complete case number on all documents sent to the court for filing in this case. Failure to do so results in delayed processing of your documents.

All matters in this action shall be sent to the following address until further notice:

Office of the Clerk
United States District Court
Eastern District of California
501 "I" Street, Suite 4–200
Sacramento, CA 95814

For timely processing of your pleadings or correspondence, please comply with our Local Rules of Court, in particular:

<u>Local Rule 133</u> The court requires an original plus one copy of each document sent for filing. If you desire to receive a conformed copy for your records, you must send an original and two copies of your document and a pre-addressed postage-paid envelope for us to return your copy to you.

Local Rule 135 Once the defendant(s) have served a responsive pleading, you are under an ongoing duty to serve them with copies of all documents you submit to the court. A proof of service shall be attached to the original of any document lodged or filed with the court, showing the date, manner and place of service. A sample proof of service is attached.

<u>Local Rule 130</u> Documents submitted to the court must be legible, on 8-1/2 " x 11" paper, with writing on one (1) side of the page only. Each separate document must be stapled at the top left corner and pre-punched with two (2) holes centered 2-3/4" apart, 1/2" from the top edge of the page. Each page should be numbered consecutively at the bottom.

Local Rule 132 Every document submitted to the court must include your name, address and prisoner identification number in the upper left hand corner of the first page. The caption on the first page must include the title of this court, the title of the action, the case number assigned to this action (including all initials and letters that follow the number), and the title of your document. If you are pursuing more than one action in this court, you must submit a separate original original document and the appropriate number of copies for each action in which you want the document filed.

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

book and an annual me are a	1000000	-110110 0111111111111111111111111111111							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	Robert A.G	ribbs		DEFENDANT Stephanie CodyJones	IS Sho A.Brid S~Depu	16ta.Count gett-Dist 16y Dist. Attor	y-Amrict Att	unicip omey hasta	of Sha
, ,	of First Listed Plaintiff EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF (Ghasta, CA.	<u> </u>	County of Residen	ce of First L (IN U.S		<u>Sha</u> s	star, (
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	, Address, and Telephone Numi	ber)		Attorneys (If Known	n)				
	Inproper								
II. BASIS OF JURISD	TOTTON OL	On Bru Onto	lm cr	TIZENSHIP OF	PDINCH	DAT DADTTES	/N (CP)	O - 7	
		One pax Only)		(For Diversity Cases Only,)		and One Box	for Defend	ant)
O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Y 3 Federal Question (U.S. Governmen.	l Not a Party)	Citize		PTF DEF			PTF □ 4	Def O 4
CJ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	① 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	G 2 G	2 Incorporated and l of Business In A		0 5	O 5
				a or Subject of a (eign Country	O 3 O	3 Foreign Nation		6	0 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box C	nh) 9RISTER		Pleatherrage Name as		NKRUPEGYS	les some	EGEATEGE	ES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgmen ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Porcelosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Toxts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJUR' 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	Y	5 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other ARRORS Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act	422 Ap	ppeal 28 USC 158 thdrawal USC 157 IACLY REGHES pyrights tent ademark A (1395ff) tek Lung (923) WC/DIWW (405(g)) ED Title XVI	375 False 400 State I	Claims Act teapportion ast and Bankin erroe tation teer Influen torganizat mer Credit Sat TV ties/Common nge Statutory A tural Acts nmental M m of Inform tion tistrative Pro view or Apr view or Apr view or Apr view or Apr	ment ng ccd and tions dities/ ctions atters mation
	noved from 🏻 3	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement Remanded from Appellate Court	1 4 Reinst Reope	ned Anothe	er District	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation	ct		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	<u>,,, </u>		<u>s. t</u> a	2 U.S. Cons	tutes unless a	1	\		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		dse: Malicious IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		MAND \$ 3,600,0	000 ∞	CHECK YES only in TURY DEMAND:			
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCK	ET NUMBER			
August 21st	,2018	Robert A	ORNEY OF						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIP!# AM	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE		

Robert A. Gibbs #510503	_		
Shasta County Jail Place of Confinement	-		
1655 West St. Mailing Address	-		
Redding, CA. 96001 City, State, Zip Code	-		
(Failure to notify the Court of your change of address may result	in dismissal of this action.)		
	TES DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
Robert A. Gibbs) \		
(Full Name of Plaintiff) Plaintiff,))		
v.) Case no. <u>2:18-cv-02594-mce-kJn</u>		
(1) Country of Shoctar a municipal entity	(To be supplied by the Clerk)		
(2) Stephanie A. Bridgett as an individual and in her official Copacity as District Atty. (3) CodyTones as an individual and in her official Capacity as Dep. District Atty.	CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT BY A PRISONER		
(4),) ⊠Original Complaint		
Defendant(s).	☐First Amended Complaint		
Check if there are additional Defendants and attach page 1-A listing them.	☐ Second Amended Complaint		
A. JURIS	DICTION		
 This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuan ≥ 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a); 42 U.S.C. § 1983 ≥ 28 U.S.C. § 1321; Pivens v. Sir Unknown 			
☐ 28 U.S.C. § 1331; Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). ☐ Other:			
	ta County Superior Court \ Shasta Jail.		

£

B. DEFENDANTS

1.	·····	st Defendant is employed as:
	(Position and Title) at Redding, CA	(Institution)
2.	Name of second Defendant: Stephonic A. Bridgett	efendant is employed as:
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)
3.	Name of third Defendant: Cody Jones . The thir Dep. District Attorney of Shosta County at Redding, CA.	d Defendant is employed as:
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)
4.	Name of fourth Defendant: The four	th Defendant is employed as:
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)
If yo	ou name more than four Defendants, answer the questions listed above for each additional Defe	endant on a separate page.
	C. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS	
1.	Have you filed any other lawsuits while you were a prisoner?	□ No
2.	If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed? Describe the previous lawsu	uits:
	 a. First prior lawsuit: 1. Parties: Robert A. Gibbs v. Stephanic Brit 2. Court and case number: recently filed no Case number yet 3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pendin *Same defendants as this Complaint ~ different Cause of an analysis. 	assigned. g?) pending filing.
	b. Second prior lawsuit: 1. Parties:v	
	 Court and case number: Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending.) 	g?)
	c. Third prior lawsuit:	
	1. Parties:vv.	
	 Court and case number: Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending 	g?)
		 '

If you filed more than three lawsuits, answer the questions listed above for each additional lawsuit on a separate page.

D. CAUSE OF ACTION

	CLAIM I
1.	State the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated: 4th 8th 14th Amends. to the united States Constitution, estatutes prohibiting Civil Hormsment, malicious pros
2.	Claim I. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate claims. Basic necessities
Defeauthor Condises of the Con	Supporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Claim I. Describe exactly what each indant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without citing legal crity or arguments. Shasta County, CA., personified by District Attorney of Shasta untry and Drp. District Attorney Cody Jones have as a regular uself-settled, illegal unconstitutional practice. Custom andlor policy the Malicious, invidious and weapon d. proceeding of defendants in Shasta County. For 5 years, under the direct superion of District Attorney Stephanic Bridgett, and former District Attorney Stephanic Bridgett, and former District Attorney Stephanic Bridgett, and former District Attorney Grephan Carlto memors Drp. District Attorneys have deliberately, unconstitutionally and malicious, including Current. Drp. District Attorney Cody Jones. Using the tactics as deliberate, repeated use of irrelevent, and un-charged "accusations", herat repeated use of highly personal use of irrelevent, and un-charged "accusations", herat repeated use of highly personal mis-characterisation of alleged facts, devants, repeated mis-statement of law and facts, deliberate repeated manipulation of court, deliberate repeated dealing of discovery deliberate repeated. Filing of False of the Court, deliberate repeated dealing, completely and unterly attacked, undermined and Annihilated nearly every Constitutional part. Nove as a Criminal defendant furthermore, the District Attorneys actions have undercut and dilluted my rights to due process, fair hearing and a fective Assistance of (Cont.) Injury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s). Cont.) Injury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s). Cont.)
	Administrative Remedies: a. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution? Yes X No
ł	b. Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim I?
	c. Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim I to the highest level? Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim I to the highest level? Upon the highest level? Yes No do not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not. No administrative remedy.

Robert A. Gibbs, plaintisf Coshasta Co. Jail #510503 Snasta County Jail 1655 West St. United States District Court of California Redding, CA. 96001 Gibbs V. Bridgett et.al. statement of Claim Continued; Counsel and to absolutely insure a false Conviction. Abrogating every single shood of Neutrality or fairness, the Shasta County's District Attorneys, with the full approval of the Shasta Government, havefor many years had the Well-Settled and Scarcely Concealed. policy or custom of maliciously proseciting Criminal defendants. Many of the people who work in the Shosta "Justice" System have and openly display a Judicial philosophy that every defendant is quilty and that no under-handed, unconstitutional or out-right illegal tactic Should be left unused in an attempt to prosecute them. When seen in the full light of a public defender System that very often underserves defendants, an absolutely incompetent defense investigators upit, and many Judges who are either unaware of home many unconstitutional practices are actually occurring or Cannot bestir themselves to intervene and it becomes clear that the entire Shosta. Justice System has become skewed towards prosecutions. There can be no doubt that the district attorneys office is well aware of these practices and deficiencies and simply do not care. I have personally observed, for several years, an atmosphere & contempt, anger, enmity and hatred for defendants that goes way beyond any Conception of merely Zealous or rigarous prosecution. There is a very fine line between zealous and persecutory and the Shasta District Attorneys have long Since crossed that line. Their "prosecutions" are charged with so much invective that you can observe in defense attorners an obvious and marked reluctance

Robert A. Gibbs plaintiff 66 shasta Co. Jail #510503 Shasta County Jail 1655 West St. United States District Court of California Radding, CA. 96001 Gibbs V. Bridgett et. al. Statement of Claim Continued; to put up more than token resistance. Defense attorneys are demoralised and at a Complete loss. Judges are rocket-docketing Cases So quickly that defences can never even catch up to prosecutions. Bail bearings are nearly non-existant ofter the original Setting of Bail, pre-trial hearings are mis-handled, affirmative defenses are ignored and there are no attlempts to re-visit or rectify any of these denial of rights. In my cases, General assigned District attorneys, including Cody Jones, hove with-held Crucial, exculpatory discovery in an obvious attempt to gain unfair advantage CodyJones has repeatedly attempted, very often successfully, to manipulate. the Court by mis-representing evidence, the law and using highly prettudicial, irrelevent non-evidence to keep my defence teams off-balance. Cody Jones, lunder the direct Supervision of D.A. Stephanie Bridgett, has tampered with knidence and Submitted it to the Court (resulting in a denial of Bail) Cody Jones has Communicated exparte with the Judge making deliberately false accusations against me (that the Judge repeated on the record, Causing a row in court). Cody Jones, at the direction of Stephanic A. Bridgett has done everything the can to coerce my plea knowing full well that their Cases against me are incredibly weak and I have not received due process Infact, it is very apparent that this D.A.'s tactics are being utilised with reckless disregard in my case, specifically, because they know they have already all but lost their cases and they are desparately attempting to coerce a plea So it will all "go away." They seem to take no responsibility whatsoever for the fact that it

Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff 16 Shasta Co. Jail #510503 Shasta County Jail 1655 West St. Redding, CA. 96001 United States District Court of California Gibbs V. Bridgett et al. totalement of Claim Continued; Was their failure to yeth their own charges and their blind and maniacally aggressive "prosecution" tactics which ultimately have backed them, rather than the defense, into a Corner. In my case, the Shasta District attorney is being hoisted on her own petard. Neither has Stephanic Bridgett learned anything from my case. This is indicative of how insular and deluded her office has become. They have long Since Confused Zealousness with pure aggression and facts and law with bald accusation and unchecked power. Instead of being in the Van-quard of fairness and neutral prosecutions in the Shasta System, instead of Setting a tone of propriety and well-reasoned adjudication, the Shasta D.A. has become the root of all evil in a thoroughly dysfunctional Bystem. Despite the fact that it is a district attorners responsibility to protect a citizens basic rights, the Shasta District Attorney has shown Callous indifference to my federally protected rights in her short-sighted greed to obtain an ill-gotten and grubby-handed Conviction. Stephanie Bridgett repeatedly and deplorably Confuses winning with Justice Because of Stephanic Bridgett and Cody Jones' aggression, I have Served twice the amount of Time in County Jail I Could have served if Convicted of all Charges and I have still not been brought to trial I have suffered unbelievable stress, depression and Suicide ideation because of the litter and undeserved hopelessness of my Situation. have had Seven defense attorneys (so far), all of whom were effectively neutralised by the district attorneys combative and dishonest tactics. The tactics employed by the District Attorney have insured,

Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff F6 Shasta Co. Jail #510503 Shasta County Jail 1665 West St. Redding, CA 96001 United States District Court of California Gibbs V. Bridgett et al Statement of Claim Continued; that, at every stage of my Criminal proceedings, a fundamental Const. tutional right was lost, completely presudicing every out-come. Mis-statements by the dep. District attorner at my preliminary hearing led directly to my being held to a felony instead of a misdemeanor. Other mis-statements led directly to an aggravated bond amount. Other mis-statements led directly to the denial of my one motion to reduce bail. One mis-statement of the law has led directly to my being charged with three felonies I am not quitty of and when challenged by a 99500 motion, instead of admitting their mistake, the D.A. mis-stated The law again, Convincing the Judge to uphold the Charges. Statutory procedure and Constitutional protections do not mean much when Systematically undermined by unscrupulous prosecutors. Stephanie, Bridgett and several others from her office, including CodyJones, are the poster children for this type of prosecutor. In addition to these regular practices in Shasta County, Stephanie Bridgett has also used her office to Civilly Harrass and Glander me. Several people have told me that Stephanie Bridgett has been talking to many people in the Community about me and my case even telling thom I am dangerous and quilty (neither is true). She has politicised the case because my charges are for allegedly threatening police officers and Stephanie Bridgett is married to a police officer and all her friends are police or local government. She is singling me out for "special treatment" as a way of pandering to her police Friends. She has gone so far as to have my picture posted online and at the District attorneys office reception area, telling people I'm dangerous.

	Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff Whited States District Gurt of Californi States County Toll
	Shasta CountyJail 1655 West St. Reading, CA. 96001 Gibbs V. Bridgett et.al.
	Redding, CA. 96001 Gibbs V. Bridgett et.al.
	Statement of Claim Continued;
 .	
	Again, I have yet to be convicted of anything, despite
	peing held without Bail in the Shosta Jail for 3 years. In Smosta County,
	the accused are guilty until proven innocent, the accused are very often
	Tailed pre-trial and punishments need not wait for Conviction.
 	I am very well versed on the powers and immunities of the
······································	District Attorney and the Challenge I face in taking action to appose her
	practices. However, I hereby state unequirocally that I will defend my Con-
	stitutional rights from all tyrants. Stephanie Bridgett puts on her pants
. .	the Same way I do. The Only difference is that Stephanie Bridgett
	Seems to think her power gives her the right to Shit on my Civil rights.
	Wars have beenfought for less. I beg this honorable Court to have the
	wisdom to recognise that even the elected and powerful must obey
	the Constitution. I am asking for the extraordinary amount of punitive
	damages that I am (in excess of 3 million dollars) because people who
	use their power to abuse good citizens must have that power checked.
	I would caution this honorable court that disputes that Cannot
	be reckoned lawfully one often taken to the streets. I am a
	peaceful man, but one way or another, Stephanie Bridgett must be
	made to see the error of her ways.
	Signed under penalty of Persury,
	Robert A. Gibbs
&	
	

E. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

State the relief you are seeking:					
	ef the Court will order (injunctive,				
mandamus) that will compel the District Atto					
desist this malicious prosecution a Jurytrio					
allegations and Compensatory and Dunitive D					
Six hundred thousand Dollars as I do not beli					
the Shasta Quinty District attorney to and its well-settled practice of maliciously					
and unconstitutionally prosecuting criminal					
	The state of the s				
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true ar	nd correct				
r decide direct politics of polytry that the levegoring is also as					
Executed on					
DATE	SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF				
20112					
(Name and title of paralegal, legal assistant, or					
other person who helped prepare this complaint)					
onior porson who norpod propare this companie,					
(Signature of attorney, if any)					
(Signature of autorney, if any)					
(Attomove's address & tolonhama mumber)					
(Attorney's address & telephone number)					

ADDITIONAL PAGES

All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form. If you need more space you may attach more pages, but you are strongly encouraged to limit your complaint to twenty-five pages. If you attach additional pages, be sure to identify which section of the complaint is being continued and number all pages. Remember, there is no need to attach exhibits to your complaint.