UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
Office of the Clerk
501 "I" Street

Sacramento, CA 95814

Marianne Matherly Clerk of Court Divisional Office 2500 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721

August 21, 2018

JAM

Case Number: 2:18-CV-02261-AC

Case Title: ROBERT A GIBBS, vs. SHASTA COUNTY, ET AL.

Dear Litigant,

You are hereby notified that the above case number has been assigned to your action. You are to include the complete case number on all documents sent to the court for filing in this case. Failure to do so results in delayed processing of your documents.

All matters in this action shall be sent to the following address until further notice:

Office of the Clerk
United States District Court
Eastern District of California
501 "I" Street, Suite 4–200
Sacramento, CA 95814

For timely processing of your pleadings or correspondence, please comply with our Local Rules of Court, in particular:

<u>Local Rule 133</u> The court requires an original plus one copy of each document sent for filing. If you desire to receive a conformed copy for your records, you must send an original and two copies of your document and a pre-addressed postage-paid envelope for us to return your copy to you.

Local Rule 135 Once the defendant(s) have served a responsive pleading, you are under an ongoing duty to serve them with copies of all documents you submit to the court. A proof of service shall be attached to the original of any document lodged or filed with the court, showing the date, manner and place of service. A sample proof of service is attached.

Local Rule 130 Documents submitted to the court must be legible, on 8-1/2 " x 11" paper, with writing on one (1) side of the page only. Each separate document must be stapled at the top left corner and pre-punched with two (2) holes centered 2-3/4" apart, 1/2" from the top edge of the page. Each page should be numbered consecutively at the bottom.

Local Rule 132 Every document submitted to the court must include your name, address and prisoner identification number in the upper left hand corner of the first page. The caption on the first page must include the title of this court, the title of the action, the case number assigned to this action (including all initials and letters that follow the number), and the title of your document. If you are pursuing more than one action in this court, you must submit a separate original original document and the appropriate number of copies for each action in which you want the document filed.

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The IS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil c	locket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	LITONS ON NEXT PAGE C	AT IHIS PO	MM.)							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN	ITS	SH	as	ta Count	1, CA	-ami	unicipalit
Robert A. Gibbs (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Shasta, CA.				DEFENDANTS SHAGTA County, CA a.munic Tom Bosenko - Sheriff of Shagta C Capt. Dave Kent-Jail Supervisor et. C County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Shagta, CA.					، ۵۵ ر		
	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C		<u>-</u>	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C				PLAINTIFF CASES O		w, ~	·/\-
				NOTE: IN LANG THE TR	D CO ACT	NDEM	NATI	ION CASES, USE T NVOLVED.		OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numb	er)		Attorneys (If Kno	own)						
In pro	-	•									
2.0	γ			,							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in 6	One Box Only)		 TIZENSHIP OF		RINC	IPA	L PARTIES			
1 U.S. Government 3 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases On	n <i>iy)</i> PT	r d	EF		and One Box	<i>jor Dejendi</i> PTF	ant) DEF	
Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz	Citizen of This State 59 1 1 1			Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		O 4	5 4		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens)	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	Citizen of Another State					5		
			1	Citizen or Subject of a			Foreign Nation	gn Nation 🗆 6 🗇 6			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			_								
A PRODUCTION OF THE PARTY OF TH				-				Hallique reason			
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury -		5 Drug Related Scizuro of Property 21 USC 8		☐ 422 ☐ 423		eal 28 USC 158 drawal	☐ 375 False (☐ 400 State H		
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability		0 Other	.			SC 157	410 Antitri	ust	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical				a carri	a e u	NEVERIGINAS ESSE	☐ 430 Banks ☐ 450 Comm		ng
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury				CJ 820	Copy	nights	☐ 460 Depor	tation	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal				□ 830□ 840			☐ 470 Racke	teer Influen et Organiza	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product] □ 480 Consu	mer Credit	
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER		0 Fair Labor Standards				(1395ff)	☐ 490 Cable/☐ 850 Securi		odities/
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Act		□ 862	Black	k Lung (923)	Excha	nge	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	D 72	Labor/Management Relations				C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	☐ 890 Other: ☐ 891 Agricu		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act	i			(405(g))	☐ 893 Enviro	nmental M	latters
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	75	l Family and Medical Leave Act	ĺ				☐ 895 Freedo Act	m of Infon	mation
	Medical Malpractice			Other Labor Litigation					☐ 896 Arbitra		_
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	21 79	I Employee Retirement Income Security Act				MATAN SULTS s (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 899 Admir Act/Re	iistrative Pr view or Ap	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee		mount doming rise			or D	efendant)	Agenc	y Decision	· -
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	1 442 Employment 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	;		ł			-Third Party SC 7600	950 Consti	-	of
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General					26 USC 7609			щины	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	O 535 Death Penalty Other:		2 Naturalization Applica							
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Othe		5 Other Immigration	1000						
	Other Other 448 Education	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		Actions]		
		560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of			1						
		Confinement			l			•]		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)	···									
□ 1 Original □ 2 Res		Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reop	ened And		red fro Distric		☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation	ict		
,	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	atute under which you ar	e filing (L	o not cite jurisdictional	statu	tes unic	ss di	yersity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN Brief description of ca	ndment to 14 ause: Daliberr		States Consti difference				<u>right to r</u>		<u>* 900</u>	wance
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS									complei	nt:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		EMAND \$ 1,800	ر —		ın.	URY DEMAND:	Yes	O No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DO	CKE	T NUMBER			
DATE	<u> </u>	SIGNATURE OF ATT	CORNEY C	F RECORD							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY											
nrational is	(OID)T	A DOLLARIO TO		T T T	Б			1440 27	CE		

Robert A. Gibbs #510503				
Name and Prisoner/Booking Number Shasta, Courty Jail				
Place of Confinement				
1655 West St.				
Redding, CA. 96001				
(Failure to notify the Court of your change of address may result in	dismissal of this action.)			
IN THE UNITED STATE FOR THE EASTERN DIST				
Robert A. Gibbs)				
Plamin,				
v.)	CASE NO. 2:18-CV-02261-JAM-AC			
(Chacks Carolina and Alaman	(To be supplied by the Clerk)			
(Full Name of Defendant) Tom Bosenko, as an individual				
(2) and in his official capacity as the	•			
(3) Capt. David Kent, (19 an individual) and in his official capacity as the	CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT BY A PRISONER			
in his official capacity as the	-			
(4) facility manager of Snasta Jail,	✓ Original Complaint			
Defendant(s). Check if there are additional Defendants and attach page 1-A listing them.	□First Amended Complaint			
Ge next page for additional Defendants	□ Second Amended Complaint			
A. JURISDI	ICTION			
1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant t	io:			
☑ 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a); 42 U.S.C. § 1983				
28 U.S.C. § 1331; Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).				
☐ Other:				
2. Institution/city where violation occurred: Shafta County Jail 1655 West St. Reading 1 CA, 96001				

盘

B. DEFENDANTS

1.	Name of first Defendant: Shasta County, CA. The first Defendant is employed as:
	— a municipality at Redding, CA. 96001 (Position and Title) (Institution)
2,	Name of second Defendant: Tom Bosenko . The second Defendant is employed as: Sheriff of Shasta County at Redding, (A. 96001 (Position and Title) (Institution)
3.	Name of third Defendant: <u>Capt. David Kent</u> . The third Defendant is employed as: <u>Shasta County Sheriffs Deputy</u> at <u>Shasta County Sheriffs dept.</u> Tail. (Position and Title) (Institution)
4.	Name of fourth Defendant: Lt. D. Marlar Shasta County Sheriffs Deputy (Position and Title) The fourth Defendant is employed as: at Shasta County Sheriffs Dept. Tail. (Institution)
If yo	ou name more than four Defendants, answer the questions listed above for each additional Defendant on a separate page. See next page for additional Defendant C. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS
1.	Have you filed any other lawsuits while you were a prisoner? ☐ Yes ☐ No
2.	If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed? Describe the previous lawsuits:
	a. First prior lawsuit: 1. Parties:
	b. Second prior lawsuit: 1. Parties:
	c. Third prior lawsuit: 1. Parties:

If you filed more than three lawsuits, answer the questions listed above for each additional lawsuit on a separate page.

	Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff 76 Grasta Co. Jail # 510503 Snasta County Jail 1655 West St. 96001 Redding, CA. 96001 Additional DePendanto: Gibbs v. Starta (
<u> </u>	Reading, CA. 96001 Additional DePendanto: Gibbs v. Starta
	In and for the United States District Court of California, Eastern Dist
	Additional Defendants:
	1. Sargeant C. Reed (Josib) - as an individual and in his official.
	Capacity as a Shasta County Sheriffs Correctional Supervisor. 2. Sargeant B. Rodgers (Jo531) - as an individual and in his official
	Capacity as a Shosta County Sheriff's Correctional Supervisor.
	3. Sargeant L. Tanner (J0502) - as an individual and in his official
*	Capacity as a Shasta County-Sheriffs Cornectional Supervisor.
	H. Deputy B. Brown (J0605) - as an individual and in his official Capacity as deputy.
-	5. Deputy 8. Storms (Jo542) - as an individual and in his official Capacity as deputy.
··	6. Deputy T. Ridenour (Jo604) - as an individual and in his official Capacity as deputy.
	7. Deputy m. Fepinoza (JO581) - asanindividual and in his official Capacity as deputy.
·······························	8. Deputy H. Cortez (JO591) - as an individual and in his official Capacity as deputy.
	7. Deputy A. Page (J0544) - as an individual and in his official Capacity as deputy
	10. Deputy J. Webb (J0607) - as an individual and in his official Capacity as deputy
_	11. Deputy N. Smith (JOG19) - as an individual and in his official Capacity as deputy.
	12. Deputy m. Alcazar (Jo614) - as an individual and in his official Capacity as deputy.
	13. Deputy M. Brady (JO628) - as an individual and in his official Capacity as deputy.
. <u></u>	14. Deputy A young (Jose9) - as an individual and in his official Capacity asdeputy.
	15. Deputy K. Oliver (JO573) - as an individual and in his official Capacity as deputy.
<u> </u>	
<u> </u>	

D. CAUSE OF ACTION

		CLAIM	I			
1. S	ate the constitutional or other fed	deral civil right that v	vas violated: Right t	o arievance	under	
_15	t Amendment to the U	nited States Con	stitution (Jutho	rity Cited)	·	
2. C	laim I. Identify the issue involved Basic necessities Disciplinary proceedings Excessive force by an officer	☐ Mail ☐ Property	State additional issues in Access to the court Exercise of religion Other:	☐ Medical o		
Defende authoric set of des of a continuation of	inporting Facts. State as briefly lant did or did not do that violated ty or arguments. The Shastated policy, practice or challed policy, practice or chall detainess of the right dempt to avail themselves to chall of access to grievance ance forms, having their griefly are singled out for specific and meaningful, trained denied any meaningful terminates loctainess being suffered with nowing my grand when each with how you were injured in an angular form. State how you were injured in the characteristics on even the human rights on even the ther retaliation, how been soon, who all abuse, emotion in insistrative Remedies:	dyour rights. State the Country Jail no Custom the United at meaning further grievance proposed interrogated evance is successful propose and for propose and	te facts clearly in your own is as its regular, denied as its regular, denied to increase are regularly of the process, are to increased, far to increased, are personal experient as I have unitnessed to provide the practices. I have been assaulted for fill actions of the Defendant aliation, have been assaulted for fill actions of the Defendant aliation, have been assaulted for fill actions of the Defendant aliation, have been assaulted for fill actions of the Defendant aliation, have been assaulted for fill actions of the Defendant aliation, have been assaulted for fill actions of the Defendant aliation.	n words without cite eliberate and al. to immates and al. to immates and and consistent and consistent and consistent are ridicule or this is not a regularly and a regular and a re	ing legal well- and detaines y Subjected mbers of for retaliation grievable bossistently in lieu d and ensore evidence), e (can provide. provide. cont.) ear	
э. д. а.	Are there any administrative re	medies (grievance pr	ocedures or administrative	e appeals) available	at your	
	institution?				☑ No*	
b.	Did you submit a request for a	dministrative relief o	on Claim I?	☑ Yes	□ No	
c.	Did you appeal your request fo		_	☑ Yes		
d.	If you did not submit or appeal did not.	_	•	, briefly explain wl	ıy you	
	*they are not meaningful					

Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff % Ghasta Co. Jail #510503 United States District Court of California Shasta County Jail 1855 West St. Redding, CA. 961 Gibbs Vs. Shasta County Ω 96001 Statement of Claim Continued; and been threatened with Disciplinary action for filing a grievance Can provide documentary evidence). In fact, incidents of interference or derial of my prievance rights have been so numerous I would be hard pressed to list them all here. Neither have I attempted to document anywhere near every instance of denial. However, for purposes of stating a Claim, I will give some examples here of incidents I did document on october 20th, 2016 I attempted to turn in a properly Completed prievance form to Deputy B. Brown (J0605). The grievances come in a triplicate form and the proper procedure is to give the inmate the pink topy as a receipt that the grievance was taken into the Custody of the officer. This is to insure that the griconce is turned in by the officer as well as to provide proof to the inmate. The pink copy is supposed to be given to the immate when it is turned in and is signed for by the officer at the same time. In this instance, Dep. Brown took the brievance but walked away and refused to Sign for it or give me my Copy. I had already instances where Brown took grievances and did not Sign for them and then never turned them in, So I immediately Complained to Brown and asked him to give me my Signed Copy of to return the grievance to me. Instead, Brown Kept the grievance did not turn it in and gave me a disciplinary for demanding he return On march 23rd 2018 after several days of being denied grievance forms by several different officers. I became extremely agitated and caused a minor disturbance in a desparate attempt to force officers to give form. On January 9th, 2018, I had to become confrontational with Several officers in order to force them to accept a grievance

Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff Yo Shasta Co. Jail # 510503 Shasta County Jail 11655 West St. Redding, CA. 96001 United States District Court of California Gibbs Vs. Shasta County (H) Continued On mayach, 2017 I goleved a disciplinary action and was told it "was not a grievable issue." On may 29th, 2017 I grieved deputees refusing to make copies of legal paperwork that I needed to file in order to meet an impending deadline in federal Response: "Case Closed" On may 24th, 2017 I grieved being denied photo-opies of legal papermork I needed to file in federal Court. Ext. B. Rodgers response was that it "was not a grievable issue and that "only pro-per immates are allowed photo-copying." Coulously, I am a pro-per litigant if I am filing my own paperwork in Court On June 28th, 2017, I grieved being denied photo-copies. I gave two Seperate, active Sederal Court Cases in which I am pro-per so deputies could verify my pro-per status. Several deputions and Sargeants attempted to check the federal fourt web ste but due to their ignorance of how the site works, they mis read kntries and responded that my cases were closed. They were not and it took me Several more days of arriving with them to prove it. On July a1st 2016 I grieved deputees refusing to give me grievance forms for days at a time and systematically denying grievances. Response by unidentified deputy: "this is an abuse of the grievance system" On august 29th, 2017 I grieved deputees Failing to take any action against an inmate who had assaulted a severely mentally ill immate with Scalding Water and urine. Response: "issue was handled" On Manuary 17th, 2018 I grieved not being allowed accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act for mental health issues. Lt marlars response: "we are providing mental health treatment!" On September 27th, 2017 I attempted to turn in a grievance form to Deputy J. Webb. Webb refused and said "Grievances are for the shredder"

Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff 40 Shata Co. Jail # 510503 Shasta County sail 1655 West St. Redding, CA.: 96001 United States District Court of California Gibbs Vs. Snasta County (F) Continued: On September 28th, 2017 I attempted to turn in a grievance in begards to an assault of my person by a deputy. When I tried to turn the acievance in Deputy Barnhart said "T ain't taking none of your Fx #King arievances" On December 4th 2015 grievance regarding retaliation showed back under my door unanswered On november 19th, 2017 I filled a grievance directed to Sheriff Tom Bosenko In that grievance I Complain that Bosenko, Kent and other Supervisors are not Supervising line deputees and it is directly leading to excessive force incidents, retaliation house etc. In his response, Soft Danis Says "Jail administration has addressed your concerns and found no wrong-doing by deputees. No meaningful investigation was conducted and concerns were not "addressed" they were ignored on jamuary 23th 2016 I grieved being assaulted by a deputy Because my grievance alleged violence by a deputy, top other deputees refused to accept it. When I was able to get it turned in I was blamed for the incident by the responding officer who conducted no investigation Whatenever On april 27th, 2017 I grieved the arbitrary selection of my cell for search, the searching officers generating a generalised disciplinary report punjohing me for "Contraband" without Specifying what The alleged Contraband was (a due process violation) and the Combined language of Shasta County mosor rule violation #5" which prohibits "Contraband or other Weapons", a rule which unfairly draws no distinction between soy, an unauthorised piece of fait or extra soap or an 8" home-made shank. The response from the fail: "You are subject to Search at any time

United States District Court of California Robert A. Gibbs, Petitioner Hospitata Co. Jail # 510503 Erasta Gunty Jail NESWESTST. Redding CA. Gibbs Vs. Shasta County <u>(6</u> continued. On January 24th, 2018 I was assaulted by a deputy during med rounds. Because I had been requesting mental health intervention for nearly a week and nod been ignored (including written and verbal requests) T. Stuck my hands out of my food port in my door and began demanding mental health attention. Deputy Clarke extended a Collapsible, Steel boton and struck me accross the arm, Coming very close to breaking bone Even though I was experiencing on-going psychiatric crisis and was receiling no treatment and even though I only held onto the food trap and did not make any aggressive motions and Deputy Clarke was in no danger, when I grieved the incident I was blamed without any investigation by the responding deputy Throughout 2017 and 2018 I made many requests in writing and verbally and Several grievances to various medical personnel and all levels (including facility manager) for Accommodations under the Americans With Disabilitles act. Specifically, I requested mental bealth housing and comprehensive mental health therapy and programming. I am recognised by the federal government as gravely disabled for mental illness (P.T.S.D., Severe depression w/ psychotic feature, Anxiety and agitation) repeatedly that the Jail had no official procedure or forms. I was referred to medical usho documented then ignored the request. Several times. When I arrived the issue I was told I "was receiving Appropriate mental health care" you may submit a sick-call request" etc. No effort was ever made to take my ADA request Seriously or even allow me any process to apply.

Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff Yoshosta. Co. Jail #510503 Snasta. County Jail 1665 West St. Redding. CA. 96001 United States District Court of California Gibas Vs. Shosta Country \bigcirc Continued: I have numerous other documented examples of denial of meaningful grievance right, but I would like to now address any I have Chosen the specific Jail deputees and Supervisors. I have included in this action. To be abundantly Clear, I am not suing for individual instances of denial of grievance - they are too numerous and I have documented too few. The most common way that Shasta Jail neputees deny the grievance right is by consistently, arbitrarily and Systematically denying requests or by severely limiting the number of forms provided in the first place Defendants #4-15 were chosen specifically because they are the absolute Worst offenders among all Jail deputres for denying the grievance right in this manner. Administrative Desendants Bosenko, Kent, Marlar, Reed, Rodgers and Tanner are the persons having final policy, decision-making Authority Within the Jail and it is these individuals whose acts or omissions may befairly said to represent official policy. It is absolutely Clear that Defendants H-15 are following the direct orders of Administrative defendants. However, befordants #4-15 Know or should know that the United States Const. quarantees the gricuance rights of citizens and indeed. I have repeatedly informed Defendants #4-15 that they are denying a constitutional pright and can be held liable. I have gone so far as to tell them that their actions are so egregious, deliberate and persistent that I will be asking the federal Judge to take the extra-ordinary Step of holding them accountable not only in their official Capacities, but as individual, private Citizens. Defendants #4-15 laugh, giggle make decogatory Comments and holdly dare me to Sue them actions evince an armgant disdain for the

Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff Gognosta Co. Jail #510503 Shasta County Jail 1655 West St. Redding, CA. 96001 United States District Court of Chilipmi (8) Continued; for the constitutional protections of their fellow Citizens and are the very definition of deliberate indifference. This practice has its genesis in the equally callous indifference of the administrative defendants and the administrators (of this Jail) who came before. My claim is a pattern and practice claim and between my documents, testimony and witness testimony, I will have no problem whatsoever in proving a well-settled custom of deliberate indifference to the grievance right by all defendants as well as culpable state of mind and a governmental astom of grossly hegliofint failure to screen, train and supervise deputees to have and démonstrale Sufficient respect for Constitutional rights. Supervisors. regularly exhibit hostile disdain towards grievances as well as those who are even remotely penceived as someone who would avail themselves to the grievance process. Line level deputees inevitably come to enforce this unconstitutional view as set policy and are showly trained to violate or negligently ignore this right It probably does not require articulation before this honorable (aurt but for the record, the Shasta County Jail practices in denying the grievance right is a door-way Alense to much greater abuses. The reason why I am asking for very large punitive damages in this case is because the Jails deliberate indifference, to my grievance right has removed the only protection I have as a pre-trial detainer to check even more damaging and criminal behavior by Jail deputies. The very Same indifference to my right to grievance has been repeatedly exhibited administrative defendants in their well-documented "response" to many incidents of assault, threats, abuse and neglect of my person by Jail Deputees

Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff Coshasta County Tail # 510503 Snasta County Tail 1655 West St. United States District Court of California Gibbs Vs. Shasta County (P) Continued; In three years, I have been the Victim of unbelievable abuse at the hands of Shasta Deputees. Assaulted, threatened with Death and grave insury, subsected to constant retaliation, retaliatory disciplinary action, retaliatory Cell-search and theft of property, denial of mental health care, programming, exercise, recreation etc. Although I will be filing a seperate Suit for the abuse I have suffered. I have an abundance of documentary evidence Enaving a direct. Causal relationship between the level of sustained abuse and neglect by deputees that can be demonstrably connected to the absense of any meaningful grievance system at the Jail. To be abundantly Clear, Administrative defendants easily demonstrated indifference to Jail conditions and the actions of their Subordinates has led, in the Shasta Jail, to deputees who know that will not be disciplined, who know Supervisors will even Cover up for them. There is a very subtle, but very well-developed "Code of silence" at the Shasta Jail that extends from line deputies all the way up the chain of command to the Sheriff himself Lack of supervision does not even begin to describe the everyday operation of the Jail. There is a discernable effort to Create effective "Fire. Walls of responsibility" between every supervisory level. The sheriff does as little Supervision of the Facility manager as he can usho in turn does little by no supervision of Shift Commanders, who virtually ignore the line deputees. It seems very clear to me that there is a conscious effort by all supervisory levels to allow the line deputers to have free reign to run the Jail in a deliberate effort to insulate themselves from responsibility or liability. To be clear, the sheriff does come to the Jail on occasion, the Captain has an Office in the Jail and does use it on occasion, but the shift commanders lare seldom, if ever seen in the housing units or higher floors of the Jail

Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff Go Shasta County Jail Chasta County Jail 1655 west St. Redding, CA. 96001 United States District Court of California Gibbs Vs. Shasta Gunty (10) Continued: The policy Seems very well to be a "hands-off" policy of turning a blind eye rather than supervising Subordinates. I believe I can show a very direct causal effect between this unwritten custom and the elevated levels of excessive force, abuse, retaliation and neglect within the Jail. Likewise, I believe a very strong case can be made that the indifference to grievance rights (and complaints, in general) by Supervisors at all levels is having a clearly demonstrable effect of leaving inmates absolutely no recourse to Counter abuses (or even document them in many cases) and is even emboldering line deputees to believe that even unconscionable, criminal levels of abuse of inmates is all but officially Sanctioned by Administrative defendants Thave routinely heard shift Commanders discussing unconscionable abuse of linmates with line deputies as if it were incredibly entertaining to them "Hey sarge, Guess what I did to Mot dirt-bag in the drunk tank?" The very reason I am asking for 1.8 million dollars in compensations and publifice damages in this case is because this down Jail is not only unsafe but is So obviously unsafe to inmates that we must live in abject fear. There are clearly no responsible authorities here, only bad-actors at all levels. The very reason I am requesting such an unprecedented amount in damages 15 because I know that any less insturious award will be unefully insufficient to cause a meaninoful change of practices at the Jail. If it does not hurt, and hurt bad, these people will never change I realise that some of these defendants may be ultimately dismissed for insufficient evidence. I realise that my case is somewhat Circumstantial, is under-documented, somewhat speculative and conclusory However, I believe strongly that the over-all case of a pattern of abuse, k deliberate indifference to opievance right and failure to train, supervise.

Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff Closhasta Co. Jail # 510503 Snasta Countypail 11555 West St. United States District Court of California Gibbs vs. Shasta County (II) Continued; and discipline officers by administrative defendants is solid and well borne-out by documentary and other evidence. I realise that the Court may wish to reduce any reward I might receive, but I would implore the court to recognise that, all-but open and supremely arragant Contempt for any part of ourse Noble Constitution is an ugly and despicable thing and men who are specifically tasked to defend it who instead do so recklessly undermine it, should be made to feel the full force and effect of Judicial intervention. respectfully Submitted Robert A. Gibbs

		CLAIM II
1.	Sta	tte the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated: NETALIATION for exercising 1st Amendment right to redress of grievance.
2.		Basic necessities
autl	f end a hority	pporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Claim II. Describe exactly what each ant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without citing legal y or arguments. In addition to Claim one, I have faced many instances of retaliation
_b 	Q ZQ	il officials for Complaining or filling grievance.
<u> </u>	_	
—		<u> </u>
4. —	Inj	ury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s). Fear emotional insury; meft, assault, threats retaliatory discipline "search".
5.	Adi	ministrative Remedies. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution?
	ъ.	Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim II?
	c. d.	Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim II to the highest level? Yes No If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not.
		* not meaningful!

E. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

State the relief you are seeking:	
I am seeking any re	lief the court may see fit to order
/insunctory/mondamys) a survetrial to prove	L factual basis and Compensatory
and punitive damages not to exceed one	million eight hundred thousand dollars
as I believe that anyless award will pr	
County and sheriff of Shasta County to	o cease and desist this deplarable
and unconstitutional practice.	
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true as	ad correct.
Executed on	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF
(Name and title of paralegal, legal assistant, or	
other person who helped prepare this complaint)	
(Signature of attorney, if any)	
(Aug	
(Attorney's address & telephone number)	

ADDITIONAL PAGES

All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form. If you need more space you may attach more pages, but you are strongly encouraged to limit your complaint to twenty-five pages. If you attach additional pages, be sure to identify which section of the complaint is being continued and number all pages. Remember, there is no need to attach exhibits to your complaint.