UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Office of the Clerk

Marianne Matherly
Clerk of Court

Office of the Clerk 501 "I" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Divisional Office 2500 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721

August 21, 2018

mie

Case Number:

2:18-CV-02263-AC

Case Title:

ROBERT A GIBBS,

vs. SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT, ET AL.

Dear Litigant,

You are hereby notified that the above case number has been assigned to your action. You are to include the complete case number on all documents sent to the court for filing in this case. Failure to do so results in delayed processing of your documents.

All matters in this action shall be sent to the following address until further notice:

Office of the Clerk
United States District Court
Eastern District of California
501 "I" Street, Suite 4–200
Sacramento, CA 95814

For timely processing of your pleadings or correspondence, please comply with our Local Rules of Court, in particular:

<u>Local Rule 133</u> The court requires an original plus one copy of each document sent for filing. If you desire to receive a conformed copy for your records, you must send an original and two copies of your document and a pre-addressed postage-paid envelope for us to return your copy to you.

<u>Local Rule 135</u> Once the defendant(s) have served a responsive pleading, you are under an ongoing duty to serve them with copies of all documents you submit to the court. A proof of service shall be attached to the original of any document lodged or filed with the court, showing the date, manner and place of service. A sample proof of service is attached.

Local Rule 130 Documents submitted to the court must be legible, on 8-1/2 " x 11" paper, with writing on one (1) side of the page only. Each separate document must be stapled at the top left corner and pre-punched with two (2) holes centered 2-3/4" apart, 1/2" from the top edge of the page. Each page should be numbered consecutively at the bottom.

Local Rule 132 Every document submitted to the court must include your name, address and prisoner identification number in the upper left hand corner of the first page. The caption on the first page must include the title of this court, the title of the action, the case number assigned to this action (including all initials and letters that follow the number), and the title of your document. If you are pursuing more than one action in this court, you must submit a separate original original document and the appropriate number of copies for each action in which you want the document filed.

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| (b) County of Residence of | | Shasta, | CA. | County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND C | o of First List IN U.S. P. | om Boses om Boses what SGI ed Defendant LAINTIFF CASES ON CASES, USE TO IVOLVED. | ONLY) | LCOU | nasta. |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, | Address, and Telephone Numb | er) | | Attorneys (If Known) |) | | | | |
| Ţ | n pro per | | | | | | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISD | ICTION (Place an "X" in | One Box Only) | | TIZENSHIP OF P | RINCIPA | L PARTIES | | | |
| ☐ I U.S. Government Plaintiff | 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government | Not a Party) | , | | TF DEF | Incorporated or Pri of Business In T | | PTF 4 | DEF |
| 2 U.S. Government Defendant | ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens) | hip of Parties in Item III) | Citizer | of Another State | 12 🗗 2 | Incorporated and P of Business In A | | □ 5 | 1 5 |
| | | | | or Subject of a ign Country | 3 🗆 3 | Foreign Nation | | 6 | □ 6 |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | (Place an "X" in One Box O | nly) | | | TELEVENIN | Description of the law. | le e sommer | on a new Jorg | noci e e |
| □ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Forcelosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & | PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 785 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 536 General 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: | TY 0 710 0 720 0 751 0 790 791 0 791 | Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions | 422 Appea 423 Withday 424 Withday 425 Withday 426 Uspy 830 Patent 840 Trade 861 HIA (862 Black 863 DIWC 864 SSID 865 RSI (46 870 Taxes 870 Taxes 871 IRS 26 US | al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 TY RIGHTS rights mark SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) //DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 105(g)) LTAX SULIS (U.S. Plaintiff fendant) | U 480 Consume U 490 Cable/S Exchang U 890 Other Str B 891 Agricult U 893 Environ Exchang U 895 Freedom Act U 896 Arbitratic U 899 Administ | aims Act apportions ad Bankin ce cion ar Influence Organizati cr Credit tt TV s/Commo ge attutory Ac aral Acts onental Ma of Inform orative Pro ew or App occision ionality of | ment g ccd and ions dities/ ctions atters nation |
| | noved from 3 | Remanded from Appellate Court | 4 Reinsta Reope | | r District | 6 Multidistric Litigation | ct | | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO | N Brief description of ca | ess arbitro | icy ar | not cite jurisdictional state | utes unless divi | drestrain | t lemot | | intur |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2: | IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P. | DEN | MAND \$ 750,00 | | ECK YES only in RY DEMAND: | ALC: | omplaint No | ==== |
| VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY | (S) (See instructions): | JUDGE | | | DOCKET | NUMBER | | | |
| DATE | | SIGNATURE OF ATTO | ORNEY OF | RECORD | | | | | |
| OR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM | OUNT | APPLYING IFP | | JUDGE | | MAG. JUD | GE | | |
| | | _ | | | | | | | |

| Robert A. Gibbs #510503 | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Name and Prisoner/Booking Number | . | | | | |
| Shosta County Jail Place of Confinement | - | | | | |
| 1655 West St. | | | | | |
| Mailing Address | t. | | | | |
| City, State, Zip Code | - | | | | |
| (Failure to notify the Court of your change of address may result | in dismissal of this action.) | | | | |
| | , | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| IN THE UNITED STAT | TES DISTRICT COURT | | | | |
| FOR THE EASTERN DIS | STRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| |) | | | | |
| (Full Name of Plaintiff) (Full Name of Plaintiff) (Full Name of Plaintiff) | | | | | |
| (Full Name of Plaintiff) Plaintiff, |) | | | | |
| v. | CASE NO. 2:18-CV-02263-mce-AC | | | | |
| Charles C. J. Comp. Land. A. | (To be supplied by the Clerk) | | | | |
| (1) Shostal ounty superior (ourt, (Full Name of Defendant) | | | | | |
| (2) Country & Shasta ~ a municipality. | 8 | | | | |
| | CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT | | | | |
| (3) Sineriff of Shosta County Tom Bosenko | BY A PRISONER | | | | |
| (4) Capt - Dave Kent ~ Facility Manger |) 🔟 Original Complaint | | | | |
| Defendant(s). | ☐First Amended Complaint | | | | |
| Check if there are additional Defendants and attach page 1-A listing them. | ☐Second Amended Complaint | | | | |
| | • | | | | |
| A. JURIS | DICTION | | | | |
| n voido | | | | | |
| 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuan | t to: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 28 U.S.C. § 1331; Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). | | | | | |
| ☐ Other: | • | | | | |
| 2. Institution/city where violation occurred: 5hast | a County Jail, Redding CA. | | | | |
| 2. Histitution/city where violation occurred. | Accounty only , recalling on. | | | | |
| | | | | | |

B. DEFENDANTS

| 1. | |
|------|---|
| | ~ a Superior Court of California at Redding CA. |
| | (Position and Title) (Institution) |
| 2. | Name of second Defendant: County of Shasta, CA The second Defendant is employed as: |
| | - amunicipality at Redding CA. |
| | (Position and Title) (Institution) |
| 3. | Name of third Defendant: Shosto Sheriff Tom Bosenko. The third Defendant is employed as: Sheriff of Shosto County at Redding CA. |
| | (Position and Title) (Institution) |
| 4. | Name of fourth Defendant: Capt. Dave Kent. The fourth Defendant is employed as: Facility Manager at Shasta Jaic at Redding CA. |
| | Pacility Manager at Shasta Taic at Redding CA. (Position and Title) (Institution) |
| If y | ou name more than four Defendants, answer the questions listed above for each additional Defendant on a separate page. |
| | C. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS |
| | |
| 1. | Have you filed any other lawsuits while you were a prisoner? |
| 2. | If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed? Describe the previous lawsuits: |
| | a. First prior lawsuit: |
| | 1. Parties:v |
| | 2. Court and case number: |
| | 3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?) |
| | |
| | b. Second prior lawsuit: |
| | 1. Parties:vv. |
| | 2. Court and case number: |
| | 3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?) |
| | 5. Result. (Was the case distinssed: Was it appeared: Is it still perfeitig:) |
| | c. Third prior lawsuit: |
| | 1 Parties: v |
| | 2 Court and case number: |
| | Parties: |
| | . Treater (11 at the same attributed. 11 at appeared. It is the same perfecting.) |

If you filed more than three lawsuits, answer the questions listed above for each additional lawsuit on a separate page.

Robert A. Gibbs plaintiff % Shasta County Jail Shasta County Jail 1655 West St Reading, CA. 9600 United States District Court of California Gibbs Vs. Superior Court of Shosta Additional Respondents; 1. Judge Dan E. Plynn 2. Judge Cara L. Beatty 3. Lt. D. marlar ~ facility manager shosta County Jail 4. SGT. B. Rodgers ~ Correctional Sargeant at Shasta County Jail 5. SET L. Tanner ~ Correctional Sangeant at Shasta County Jail 6. SGT. C. Reed. ~ Correctional Surgeant at Shasta County Jail

D. CAUSE OF ACTION

CLAIM I

| 1. State the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated: 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution ~ Due Process. |
|---|
| 2. Claim I. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate claims. ☐ Basic necessities ☐ Mail ☐ Access to the court ☐ Medical care ☐ Disciplinary proceedings ☐ Property ☐ Exercise of religion ☐ Retaliation ☐ Excessive force by an officer ☐ Threat to safety ☐ Other: ☐ Other: ☐ Content ☐ Con |
| 3. Supporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Claim I. Describe exactly what each Defendant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without citing legal authority or arguments. |
| The Shasta County Jail and Superior Courts have as their regular well-settled custom policy or practice. The arbitrary un-necessary and unconstitutional use of physical restraints (Handouff Shackle and belly chain) without any meaningful review or balancing between the "need" for Such restraints and a pre-trial detainees constitutional rights or protections, a custom policy or practice, that violates Due Process. In my 3 years as a pre-trial detainee, I have, spent approx, half of that time as a "Chain-all" inmate. Any movement out of my Cell requires that I be shackled Cuffed and placed in a belly-chain. Being "Chain-all" has caused serious difficulty in bring able to handle my court papernork in court and when in professional visit. I have supped going to recreation with because, due to having to be in close proximity to Jail Deputees (uno are often abusive) when I am Chained-up. I have forgone exercise to minimise my contact with abusive deputees. I have not been to rec. yard for 9 months (which coincides with my last placement on chain-all status (october, 2017). Because I am getting no meaningful exercise. I am very out-of-shape and unnealthy. I have had no |
| 4. Injury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s). Continued My Criminal Case has been severely prejudiced because the Chains makes everyone treat me as dangerous (I am not) and guilty (I am not). The status has caused severe emotional distress and depression. Limited movement and access to program |
| 5. Administrative Remedies: a. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution? |
| b. Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim I? |
| c. Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim I to the highest level? d. If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not. |
| |

Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff % shasta Co. Jail #510503 shasta County Jail 1655 West. St. Redding, CA. 96001

United States District Court of California

Gibbs Vs. Superior Court of Shasta

Statement & Claim, Continued;

of the Chain-all status, this depression and other psychological problems have been exacerbated. I have asked Several lawyers to Seek review of this status but they have refused. I am getting no meaningful review of this status by Shasta Jail respondents. Although a classification Officer makes a notation in my file every thirty days (my "review") there is a discernable pattern and practice of Simply Continuing previous reasoning for continuation of the Status For example, my "review" often says "Gibbs Continues to threaten deputies" or "Gibbs Continues to be a threat to Security", but I have repeatedly complained that deputees are purposely provoking me into emotional outbursts and administrative respondents have refused to take any action to restrain or even supervise abusive deputies It is well-known to administrative respondents that I suffer from mental illness (PTSD. Severe depression with psychotic feature, Severe anxiety and agitation). Jail adminstrative respondents have Continuously ignored my requests for mental health treatment and specialised housing to insulate me from abusive deputees. Jail administrative re-Spondents have likewise repeatedly refused to train deputees to have Care to provoke me into emotional autoursts. Some deputees have Seenit as a Joke to deliberately provoke me knowingly using any reaction to Justify Continuation of my chain-all Status Correctional Sargeants have been deliberately indifferent to both this abuse of my person as well as the abuse of my right to a meaningful review of the Chain-all Status.

Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff % Shasta Co. Jail #510503 Shasta County Jail 1455 West St. Redding, CA. 96001

United States District Court of California

2

Gibbs Vs. Superior Gurtof Shasta

Statement of Claim, Continued;

I am hardly alone in being Subjected to arbitrary Chainall. In my 3 years at the Shasta Jail, I have witnessed numerous other instances of misuse of the practice. There is a discernable pattern of using Chain-all on anyone who is mentally ill, a deplorable practice. Often, the mentally ill are subsequently physically abused by being carted around by deputees by being propelled, pulled or even Carried by the Chains. I have also witnessed a discernable pattern of deputees assoulting or using excessive force on detainees and then making false reports of Violence or resisting on the detainer, which results in Chain-all Status. This is what has happened to me that has led to my being chain-all for the last 9 months. I was assaulted by a deputee, who broke my nose and filed a false report that I had been aggressive and threatened him. I had witnesses who knew I had done nothing, but Jail administration did no investigation and SoI was placed on Chain-all for being assaulted I have had approx. 9 monthly "reviews" of my chain-all Status in this time, but reviewing deputers have Just been looking for any excuse to Continue. For example, when I grieved the issue, a classification deputee (Ochoa) replied that I was on chain-all for spitting at my lawyer and numerous write-ups, but the incident with my lawyer occurred 16 months earlier and most of my write -ups are for being deliberately provoked by deputees. It is simply my word against deputees and of course, administrators will take their Side Consistently.

Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff % Shasta Co. Jail #510503 Shasta County Jail 1655 West St. Redding (CA. 96001

United States District Court of California

Gibbs Vs. Superior Court of Shasta

Statement of Claim, Continued;

(3)

To be abundantly Clear, my claim is one of a pattern and practice of Jail administrative respondents deliberately and indifferently failing to properly Screen, train and supervise Deputees who work in the Jail, deputies who respondents know or should know are violent, aggressive, dishonest unintelligent or otherwise unfit for duty, deputees who have no discernable respect for constitutional rights, deputees who have no train ing working around the mentally disabled and who ultimately Misuse Chains and or restraints in an arbitrary or retaliatory manner. To be abundantly clear, my claim is further expressed that administrative respondents not only are not supervising deputees, their interactions with detainees or their use of chains but are effectively sanctioning this practice by not meaningfully reviewing its use or continuing status of detainees being Subjected to it. These actions and inactions by administrative re-Spondents are so pervasive and consistent as to amount to well-settled Custom or palicy. These actions and inactions are callous indifference to my federally protected right (to bue process), are a deliberate abrogation of respondents responsibilities to protect Civil rights, are actions that amount to deliberate indifference and respondents are grossly negligent intheir training and supervising of Subordinates To be abundantly clear, I have included Judges Dan E. Flynn and Cara L. Beatty as well as the Superior Court of Shasta in this action because the abuse of chains and shackles which has its genesis in the Jail is spilling out into the Superior Court and becomes a violation of Due process in the Courtroom. Judges Such as Flynn and Beatty are abrogating their duty to scrutinise this practice.

Robert A. Gibbs, plaintiff % Shosta Co. Jail #510503 Shasta County Jail 1655 West St. Redding , CA. 96001

United States District Court of California

Gibbs Vs. Superior Court of Shoster

Statement of Claim, Continued;

4

Superior Court respondents Know or should know that detainees and defendants mue a due process interest in not being subjected to an arbitrary or unlawful use of chaining and that it is their duty to protect this right in their countrooms. By simply turning a blind eye to more and more defendants being brought to court in chains, without independent review of the actual need for it, the Superior Gurt respondents are abrogating their duty to protect Civil rights of defendants. This inaction amounts to deliberate indifference to the Civil rights of defendants. All of these respondents are of a sufficiently high enough position in Shasta government that their actions may be fairly said to represent county policy or practice. All of these respondents have shown a disconable pattern of open disdain, ignorance of or callous indifference to other, equally essential civil rights (Such as right to bail, grievance, effective assistance, discovery etc.). For this very reason, I am requesting no less than \$750,00000 in Compensatory and publitive damages. This large Sum is in direct proportion to the arragant, continuing, and Flagrant disrespect these respondents repeatedly and deliberately Show our American ideals of Civil liberty.

> Sincerely, Robert A. Gipbs

E. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

| State the relief you are seeking: | 0 |
|---|-----------------------------|
| I am requesting any re | elief the Court sees fit to |
| order (insunctors) mandamus), a Jury trial to | prove my claims and |
| Compensator and punitive damages not to exce | ed Seven hundred fifty |
| thousand dollars as I do not relieve that any le | ower amount will prove |
| Sufficient to compet respondents to cease an | d desist from this abusive |
| and wide-sorred practice. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and corre | ect. |
| | |
| Executed on | |
| DATE | SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| (Name and title of paralegal, legal assistant, or | |
| other person who helped prepare this complaint) | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| (Signature of attorney, if any) | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| (Attorney's address & telephone number) | |

ADDITIONAL PAGES

All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form. If you need more space you may attach more pages, but you are strongly encouraged to limit your complaint to twenty-five pages. If you attach additional pages, be sure to identify which section of the complaint is being continued and number all pages. Remember, there is no need to attach exhibits to your complaint.